Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,265	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gentle E. Winter	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commer GHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \square This communication is responsive to $2/10/04$.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 3-10, 12, and 13, renumbere	<u>d as 1-11</u> .	
3. The drawings filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> are accepted by the Ex	aminer.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received. been received in Applicati	on No
* Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	tted. Note the attached EX s reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient.
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperse 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the sheet. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT Formatten and the sheet of the sheet	on's Patent Drawing Revie Amendment / Comment of 84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C sit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	ir in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. 3), 7. ☑ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 09/845,265

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 2. The application has been amended as follows:
- 3. Non-elected claims 14-17 are cancelled. The election has been treated as an election without traverse for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102—Withdrawn

1. Claims 1 and 10 were rejected as being unpatentable over Inoue. The rejection is withdrawn. On page 6 applicant states: "Applicant respectfully disagrees with [the examiner's interpretation of this [the Inoue] reference." Applicant then goes to argue a new limitation in the claim. The arguments are relevant in distinguishing the reference from the claims as they now appear. The arguments in conjunction with the amendments are persuasive in distinguishing the currently pending claims from the prior art of record. The reference fails to disclose that the modified styrene includes at least on acrylonitrile unit. This addition overcomes the anticipation rejection. Under different circumstances the claim might have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, however as was pointed out by applicant the claims are drawn to a fairly specific type of battery, and the components

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/845,265

Art Unit: 1746

are disclosed to be in specific ranges. The language of the ranges is not modified. In view of the teaching of the prior art of record it appears that the claim recites elements that are novel in the context of a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery, and further, that while the prior art of record does teach every component, the mere disclosure of claimed elements is not sufficient to support an obviousness type rejection. The claimed combination is not fairly suggested in the aggregated references, as such, the claims are believed allowable over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103--Withdrawn

Claim 2-9 and 11-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 1. over the combination of Inoue and associated references. Applicant credibly argued that the specific combination claimed was not identified in the prior art of record, rather the prior art of record recited a laundry list and the prior art of record was silent as to why the specifics disclosed would have been selected. It is well settled that it is improper to use applicant's disclosure as a blueprint for piecing together art to make an obviousness rejection. While the aggregated prior art of record does disclose appear to disclose the various components of the invention, the motivation for making the specific combinations that are claimed is apparently lacking.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 3-10, 12, and 13, renumbered as 1-11 are allowed Application/Control Number: 09/845,265

Art Unit: 1746

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

4. The Inoue reference fails to disclose that the modified styrene includes at least on acrylonitrile unit. This addition overcomes the anticipation rejection. Applicant credibly argued that the specific combination claimed was not identified in the prior art of record, rather the prior art of record recited a laundry list and the prior art of record was silent as to why the specifics disclosed would have been selected.

- 5. For at least the foregoing reasons the claims are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record.
- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gentle E. Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 1746

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gentle E. Winter

Examiner

Art Unit 1746

April 2, 2004

SUPERVISORY BATE AMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700